



TITLE:

# On the semi-continuity of log discrepancies

AUTHOR(S):

Ambro, Florin

---

CITATION:

Ambro, Florin. On the semi-continuity of log discrepancies. 代数幾何学シンポジウム記録 2000, 2000: 191-195

ISSUE DATE:

2000

URL:

<http://hdl.handle.net/2433/214716>

RIGHT:

# ON THE SEMI-CONTINUITY OF MINIMAL LOG DISCREPANCIES

FLORIN AMBRO\*

ABSTRACT. We show that the minimal log discrepancy function of 3-folds and toric varieties is lower semi-continuous. This semi-continuity property strenghtens the sharp upper bound of minimal log discrepancies conjectured by V.V. Shokurov.

## 0. INTRODUCTION

The Minimal Model Program predicts that an algebraic variety can be transformed into a minimal model after a finite sequence of surgery operations (divisorial contractions and flips). Singularities appear naturally in the process, and it is expected that varieties with *only log canonical singularities* form the largest class in which the Minimal Model Program works.

To any valuation centered on a variety  $X$  with only log canonical singularities, one can associate a non-negative rational number, called *log discrepancy*. The *minimal log discrepancy* of  $X$  in a given point is the minimum of log discrepancies of all valuations centered at that point [Sh88]. For instance, the minimal log discrepancy  $a(x; X)$  of a variety  $X$  in a nonsingular point  $x \in X$  coincides with the log discrepancy of the exceptional locus of the blow-up centered at  $x$ , and equals the codimension of  $x$ .

Discrepancies have been used for instance to obtain effective bounds for the generation of adjoint line bundles (cf.[ELM95, Ka97]). They are related to the factorization of birational maps between Mori fibre spaces (cf.[Co99]), and also to the classification of divisorial contractions (cf.[Ka94, Co99, K1, K2]).

A basic property of discrepancies is that they increase after each basic step of the Minimal Model Program, thus the termination of the Minimal Model Program process in a finite number of steps seems to rely on certain spectral properties of discrepancies. V.V. Shokurov proposed the following A.C.C. Conjecture, which is proven in codimension

---

\*Research Fellow of the Japan Society for the Promotion of Sciences.

two [Al93, Sh91], and for  $\Gamma = \{0\}$  in the case of toric varieties [Br97] (see Section 1 for definitions and notations):

**Conjecture 0.1.** [Sh88] *If  $\Gamma \subset [0, 1]$  is a subset satisfying the descending chain condition, then the set*

$A(\Gamma, n) := \{a(\eta; B); (X, B) \text{ log variety, } \text{codim}(\eta, X) = n, b_j \in \Gamma \forall j\}$   
*satisfies the ascending chain condition.*

An equivalent statement is that  $A(\Gamma, n)$  is bounded from above and has no accumulation points from below. The following conjecture, proven up to codimension three [Mrk96, Ka93] based on the classification of terminal 3-fold singularities [Rd80, Mr85], proposes a sharp upper bound:

**Conjecture 0.2.** [Sh88] *Let  $(X, B)$  be a log variety and let  $\eta \in X$  be a Grothendieck point. Then the following inequality holds:*

$$a(\eta; B) \leq \text{codim } \eta.$$

*Moreover,  $X$  is nonsingular in  $\eta$  if  $a(\eta; B) > \text{codim } \eta - 1$ .*

Our goal is to strengthen the first part of Conjecture 0.2:

**Main Theorem 1.** *Let  $(X, B)$  be a log variety, and let  $x$  be a closed point on a curve  $C$  in  $X$ . Assume one of the following extra assumptions is satisfied:*

- a)  $\dim X \leq 3$ , or
- b)  $X$  is a torus embedding and  $B$  is invariant under the torus action.

*Then  $a(x; B) \leq a(\eta_C; B) + 1$ .*

We will show that the conclusion of the Main Theorem is equivalent to the lower semi-continuity of minimal log discrepancies of the points on a given log variety. Our result does not prove any new case of Conjecture 0.2, but we hope that the lower semi-continuity is the reason behind the conjectured sharp upper bound. We remark that the somehow related upper semi-continuity of thresholds in a family of hypersurfaces has been proved by analytic methods (cf. [DK00, PS00]).

This note is based on [Am99], which we refer to for further details.

## 1. PRELIMINARY

A *variety* is a reduced irreducible scheme of finite type over a fixed field  $k$ , of characteristic 0. An *extraction* is a proper birational morphism of normal varieties. A *log pair*  $(X, B)$  is a normal variety  $X$  equipped with an  $\mathbb{R}$ -Weil divisor  $B$  such that  $K + B$  is  $\mathbb{R}$ -Cartier.  $(X, B)$  is called a *log variety* if moreover,  $B$  is effective.

## DISCREPANCIES

If  $(X, B)$  is a log pair and  $\mu : \tilde{X} \rightarrow X$  is an extraction, the *log codiscrepancy divisor* of  $(X, B)$  on  $\tilde{X}$  is the unique divisor  $\tilde{B}$  on  $\tilde{X}$  such that  $\mu^*(K + B) = K_{\tilde{X}} + \tilde{B}$  and  $\tilde{B} = \mu^{-1}B$  on  $\tilde{X} \setminus \text{Exc}(\mu)$ . The identity  $\tilde{B} = \sum_{E \subset \tilde{X}} (1 - a(E; X, B))E$  associates to each prime divisor  $E$  of  $\tilde{X}$  a real number  $a(E; X, B)$ , called the *log discrepancy* of  $E$  with respect to  $(X, B)$ . The invariant  $a(E; X, B)$  depends only on the valuation with center  $c_X(E) = \mu(E)$  defined by  $E$  on the field of rational functions of  $X$ . For simplicity, we may write  $a(E; B)$  for  $a(E; X, B)$ .

**Definition 1.1.** [Sh88] The *minimal log discrepancy* of a log pair  $(X, B)$  at a proper Grothendieck point  $\eta \in X$  is defined as

$$a(\eta; X, B) = \inf_{c_X(E)=\eta} a(E; X, B),$$

where the infimum is taken after all prime divisors on extractions of  $X$  having  $\eta$  as a center on  $X$ . We set by definition  $a(\eta_X; X, B) = 0$ .

The log pair  $(X, B)$  has only *log canonical singularities* if  $a(\eta; B) \geq 0$  for every proper point  $\eta \in X$ .

## 2. LOWER SEMI-CONTINUITY

**Definition 2.1.** Let  $(X, B)$  be a log pair. The *mld-spectrum* of  $(X, B)$  is defined as the set  $\text{Mld}(X, B) := \{a(\eta; B); \eta \in X\} \subset \{-\infty\} \cup \mathbb{R}$ . We denote by  $a^\circ$  the map  $X \rightarrow \text{Mld}(X, B)$  ( $x \mapsto a(x; B)$ ), defined on the closed points of  $X$ . The partition of  $X$  into the fibers of the map  $a^\circ$  is called the *mld-stratification* of  $(X, B)$ .

**Lemma 2.2.** Assume  $W \subset X$  is a closed irreducible subvariety and  $(X, B)$  is a log pair with only log canonical singularities at  $\eta_W$ . Then there exists an open subset  $U$  of  $X$  such that  $U \cap W \neq \emptyset$  and

$$a(x; B) = a(\eta_W; B) + \dim W$$

for every closed point  $x \in W \cap U$ .

**Theorem 2.3.** Given a log pair  $(X, B)$ , the *mld-spectrum*  $\text{Mld}(X, B)$  is a finite set, and the *mld-stratification* is constructible, i.e. all the fibers of the map  $a^\circ$  are constructible sets.

**Proposition 2.4.** For a log variety  $(X, B)$ , the following statements are equivalent:

- (1) The function  $a^\circ$  is lower semi-continuous. That is, every closed point  $x \in X$  has a neighborhood  $x \in U \subseteq X$  such that  $a(x; B) = \inf_{x' \in U} a(x'; B)$ .
- (2)  $a(x; B) \leq a(\eta_C; B) + 1$  for every closed point  $x$  on a curve  $C$  in  $X$ .

FLORIN AMBRO\*

*Proof.* Assume (2) holds, and let  $x \in X$  be a closed point. By Theorem 2.3, we may shrink  $X$  such that  $x \in \bar{C}$  for every irreducible component  $C$  of the fibers of the map  $a^\circ$ . For  $x' \in X$ , there exists a  $C$  such that  $x' \in C$ . Since  $x \in \bar{C}$ , we infer that  $a(x; B) \leq a(\eta_C; B) + \dim \eta_C = a(x'; B)$ .

Assume (1) holds. Let  $U_x$  be a neighborhood of  $x$  such that  $a(x; B) \leq a(x'; B)$  for all  $x' \in U_x$ . Then  $U_x \cap \bar{\xi} \subset \bar{\xi}$  is an open dense subset. From Lemma 2.2, there exists some  $x' \in U_x \cap \bar{\xi}$  such that  $a(x'; B) = a(\xi; B) + \dim \xi$ . Therefore  $a(x; B) \leq a(\xi; B) + \dim \xi$ .  $\square$

*Proof of the Main Theorem.* (a) If  $X$  is a curve or surface, the desired inequality is easily checked, so let us assume  $X$  is a 3-fold. We may assume  $a(x; B) > 1$ , thus  $(X, B)$  has only log canonical singularities.

Assume first  $a(\eta_C; B) \leq 1$ . From the Log Minimal Model Program (cf. [Ka92]), there exists a crepant extraction  $\mu : (\tilde{X}, \tilde{B}) \rightarrow (X, B)$  such that  $\tilde{B}$  is effective and there exists a prime divisor  $E$  on  $\tilde{X}$  with  $\mu(E) = C$  and  $a(\eta_E; \tilde{B}) = a(\eta_C; B)$ . Let  $\eta$  be the generic point of a curve in the fiber of  $\mu|_E : E \rightarrow C$  over  $x$ . Then,  $a(x; B) \leq a(\eta; \tilde{B}) \leq a(\eta_E; \tilde{B}) + 1$ , where the latter inequality holds by the 2-dimensional case.

Assume now  $a(\eta_C; B) > 1$ . Then we may assume  $a(x; B) > 2$ , thus  $X$  is nonsingular at both  $x$  and  $\eta_C$  and  $a(x; B) - (a(\eta_C; B) + 1) = \text{mult}_C B - \text{mult}_x B \leq 0$ .

(b) Assume  $X = T_N(\Delta)$  is toric variety and  $B = \sum_i (1 - a_i) B_i$  is an invariant divisor. By definition, there exists a piecewise linear form  $\varphi$  on  $N_{\mathbb{R}}$  such that  $\varphi(v_i) = a_i$  for every  $i$ , where  $\{v_i\}$  are the primitive vectors on the rays of  $\Delta$ . We may assume the log variety  $(X, B)$  has only log canonical singularities, i.e.  $0 \leq a_i \leq 1$  for every  $i$ . Then we have the following formula for the minimal log discrepancies of  $(X, B)$  at the generic points of the orbits:

$$a_\sigma := a(\eta_{\text{orb}(\sigma)}; B) = \inf\{\varphi(v); v \in \text{rel int}(\sigma) \cap N\}, \quad \sigma \in \Delta.$$

First of all, each strata in the mld-stratification is a union of orbits of the torus action. This follows from Lemma 2.2 and the transitivity of the torus action. Then it is enough to check that  $a_\sigma + \text{codim}(\sigma) \leq a_\tau + \text{codim}(\tau)$  if  $\tau$  is a face of  $\sigma$ , which follows from a dimension count.  $\square$

Finally, we record for completeness the known first "gap" in the 3-dimensional spectrum predicted by the A.C.C. conjecture:

**Proposition 2.5.** *Assume  $(X, B)$  is a log variety of dimension 3 and let  $x \in X$  be a closed point. Then the following hold:*

- a)  $a(x; B) > 2$  iff  $X$  is nonsingular at  $x$  and  $a(x; B) = 3 - \text{mult}_x(B)$ .

## DISCREPANCIES

- b)  $a(x; B) = 2$  iff one of the following holds:
- i)  $X$  is nonsingular at  $x$  and  $\text{mult}_x B = 1$ .
  - ii)  $x \notin \text{Supp}(B)$  and  $X$  has a  $cDV$  singularity at  $x$  (cf. [Rd80]).

## REFERENCES

- [Al93] Alexeev, V., *Two two-dimensional terminations*, Duke J. Math. J., **69**, No. 3, 527–545 (1993).
- [Am99] Ambro, F., *On minimal log discrepancies*, Math. Res. Lett. **6** (1999), 573–580.
- [Br97] Borisov, A.A., *Minimal discrepancies of toric singularities*, Manuscripta Math. **92** (1997), no. 1, 33–45.
- [Co99] Corti, A., *Singularities of linear systems and 3-fold birational geometry*, Warwick preprint, 1999.
- [DK00] Demailly, J.-P., Kollár, J., *Semi-continuity of complex singularity exponents and Kähler-Einstein metrics on Fano orbifolds*, preprint 2000.
- [ELM95] Ein, L.; Lazarsfeld, R.; Masek, V., *Global generation of linear series on terminal threefolds*, Internat. J. Math. **6** (1995), no. 1, 1–18.
- [K1] Kawakita, M., *Divisorial contractions in dimension 3 which contract divisors to smooth points*, preprint math.AG/0010207, 2000.
- [K2] Kawakita, M., *Divisorial contractions in dimension three which contract divisors to compound  $A_1$  points*, preprint math.AG/0005207, 2000.
- [Ka97] Kawamata, Y., *On Fujita's freeness conjecture for 3-folds and 4-folds*, Math. Ann. **308** (1997), no. 3, 491–505.
- [Ka94] Kawamata, Y., *Divisorial contractions to 3-dimensional terminal quotient singularities*, Higher-dimensional complex varieties (Trento, 1994), 241–246, de Gruyter, Berlin, 1996.
- [Ka93] Kawamata, Y., *The minimal discrepancy of a 3-fold terminal singularity*, An appendix to [Sh93].
- [Ka92] Kawamata, Y., *Termination of log flips for algebraic 3-folds*, Internat. J. Math. **3** (1992), 653–660.
- [Mrk96] Markushevich, D., *Minimal discrepancy for a terminal  $cDV$  singularity is 1*, J. Math. Sci. Univ. Tokyo **3** (1996), no. 2, 445–456.
- [Mr85] Mori, S., *On 3-dimensional terminal singularities*, Nagoya Math. J., **98** (1985), 43–66.
- [PS00] Phong, D.H., Sturm, J., *Algebraic estimates, stability of local zeta functions, and uniform estimates for distribution functions*, Ann. of Math. **152** (2000), 277–329.
- [Rd80] Reid, M., *Canonical 3-folds*, in Géométrie algébrique Angers 1979 (A. Beauville, ed.), Sijthoff & Noordhoff, 1980, 273–310.
- [Sh88] Shokurov, V.V., *Problems about Fano varieties*, Birational Geometry of Algebraic Varieties, Open Problems -Katata 1988, 30–32.
- [Sh91] Shokurov, V.V., *A.c.c. in codimension 2*, preprint 1991.
- [Sh93] Shokurov, V.V., *3-Fold log flips*, Russian Acad. Sci. Izv. Math. **40**:1 (1993), 95–202.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICAL SCIENCES, UNIVERSITY OF TOKYO, KOMABA,  
MEGURO-KU, TOKYO, 153, JAPAN

E-mail address: ambro@ms.u-tokyo.ac.jp